

**Remarks**

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1 – 10 and 12 were allowed. Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,575,751 to Lehmann, and claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for insufficient antecedent basis.

By this response and amendment, Claims 11 and 13 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained therein. Applicants submit that the rejections to claims 11 and 13 are obviated by their cancellation.

**CONCLUSION**


In light of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney if it is believed that such contact will expedite the prosecution of the application.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, Applicants petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,  
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Date: April 3, 2006  
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC  
112 South West Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
(703) 548-6284

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Gary M. Nath  
Registration No. 26,965  
Gregory B. Kang  
Registration No. 45,273  
Customer No. 20529